arXiv:1005.3945v3 [math.AG] 19 Mar 2012

Deriving Deligne-Mumford Stacks with Obstruction Theories

Timo Schürg

Abstract

We show that every n-connective quasi-coherent obstruction theory on a Deligne-Mumford stack is induced by a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack.

1 Introduction

Some moduli spaces playing an important role in enumerative geometry carry an additional structure. Apart from the cotangent complex, which controls deformations and obstructions of the objects parametrized by the moduli space, there sometimes exist another complex doing the same job. If the moduli space in question is very singular, the cotangent complex will have cohomology in arbitrary many degrees. In many cases, the replacement complex has much better finiteness properties, being locally isomorphic to a finite complex of vector bundles. If the replacement complex is perfect and of Tor-amplitude ≤ 1, there exists a virtual fundamental class on the moduli space, which is the key to actually producing numbers [BF97].

Ever since this phenomenon was observed in [Kon95], it was suspected that the replacement complex is a shadow of a derived structure on the moduli space. In the meantime, the foundations of derived algebraic geometry have been firmly laid out in [HAG2, DAG]. Using these theories, in many examples derived moduli spaces having the 'correct' cotangent complex have been found (for examples see [Toë09]). These derived enhancements have the same underlying topological space as their classical counterpart, the derived structure just being a nilpotent thickening of the structure sheaf. In [Toë09, Sect. 4.4.3], Toën observed that such a derived enhancement automatically induces a replacement complex for the cotangent complex of the classical moduli space. The replacement is simply the cotangent complex of the derived enhancement, which might well be very different from the cotangent complex of the classical part and enjoy much better finiteness properties. This leads to the question treated in this paper: Given a moduli space together with a replacement complex for the cotangent complex, does there exist some derived version of the moduli space having the replacement complex as cotangent complex?

We already know quite a lot about a possible derived enhancement inducing the replacement complex. We already know its underlying space and the cotangent complex of the possible derived enhancement. The problem then becomes to lift this information on the tangent level to an actual derived structure sheaf on the space.

This formulation makes the problem tractable to using obstruction calculus to find the derived structure. In a certain sense, this defeats the purpose of derived algebraic geometry, as part of the motivation for derived algebraic geometry was precisely avoiding such calculations and simply writing down the functor the lifted moduli problem should represent[1].

The main theorem of this paper is that at least some derived structure inducing the obstruction theory exists (Theorem 3.6). The method of proof uses obstruction calculus for nilpotent thickenings of derived rings. The basic observation underlying the whole work is easily described. Behrend and Fantechi in [BF97] axiomatized the phenomenon of a replacement complex for the cotangent complex of a moduli space to the notion of an *obstruction theory*. Such an obstruction theory for a commutative ring A is given by a morphism $\phi\colon E \to L_A$, where E is a complex of A-modules and L_A is the cotangent complex. Such a morphism can always be completed to a cofiber sequence

$$E \xrightarrow{\phi} L_A \xrightarrow{\eta} K$$

of A-modules. Now the datum of a morphism $\eta\colon L_A \to K$ defines a square-zero extension $A^\eta \to A$. The cotangent complex of A^η is already an excellent approximation of E. There exists a comparison map $E \to L_{A^\eta}$ which is an equivalence in low degrees. The remaining work then is to find further square-zero extensions of A^η that successively correct the difference in higher degrees. This process will allow us to lift the structure sheaf of the classical part step by step to a structure sheaf of derived rings which has the ‘right’ cotangent complex. The main advantage of this approach is that it is global from the start, thus avoiding all gluing issues. One can not emphasize too much though that the practical value of such a result is small. Given a moduli problem equipped with a obstruction theory it is far better to find the appropriate derived formulation of the moduli problem. The main result of this paper is merely a proof of concept that obstruction theories have their natural home in derived algebraic geometry. Notably missing from this paper are all results concerning uniqueness of the derived structure, as well as results concerning lifting maps between Deligne-Mumford stacks with perfect obstruction theories.

Conventions

- Given a stable ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with a t-structure in the sense of [HA], an object X of $\mathcal{C}$ is said to be *n-connective* if $X \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$. A morphism

---

[1] see [TV03, Sec. 6] and [Lur07, p. 9]

$f\colon X \to Y$ is n-connective it its fiber $\mathrm{fib}(f)$ is n-connective.

- Given a commutative ring A we will denote by Mod_A the ∞-category of A-module spectra. This category contains the category of ordinary A-modules as heart of a t-structure. Roughly, objects of Mod_A consist of possibly unbounded chain complexes of ordinary A-modules.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Manfred Lehn, Marc Nieper-Wißkirchen and Gabriele Vezzosi for countless helpful discussions on the subject, as well as Moritz Groth, Parker Lowrey and David Carchedi for many explanations about ∞-categories. Finally I thank Barbara Fantechi for emphasizing the benefits of global constructions. The idea to put on the derived structure globally step by step is by her. The dependence on Lurie's volumes is obvious from the number of citations.

2 The Algebraic Case

In this section we first treat the problem of finding derived structures inducing an obstruction theory in an abstract setting. The abstract setting will be given by a stable symmetric monoidal ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ equipped with a t-structure satisfying some assumptions (Ass. 2.1). After reviewing some results on the cotangent complex of a commutative algebra object in such a category, we then define the notion of an n-connective obstruction theory on a commutative algebra object $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ (Def. 2.4). We then define how a morphism $f\colon B \to A$ can induce a given obstruction theory. As main result we prove that for any given commutative algebra object A with fixed obstruction theory there always exists a morphism $f\colon B \to A$ inducing the obstruction theory. We then treat several possible choices of the ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ and prove some finiteness results.

2.1 Background

Throughout this paper, the following assumption will be made with regard to the ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ in question.

Assumption 2.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a symmetric monoidal stable ∞-category equipped with a t-structure satisfying the following assumptions [HA, Constr. 8.4.3.9]:

(i) The ∞-category $\mathcal{C}$ is presentable.

(ii) The tensor product $\otimes\colon \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ preserves small colimits separately in each variable.

(iii) The full subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 0} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ contains the unit object and is closed under tensor products.

Denote by $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ the category of commutative algebra objects in $\mathcal{C}$. We will make constant use of several fundamental facts proven in [HA]. The first concerns the existence of a cotangent complex in such a situation. Lurie proves that in this generality for every commutative algebra object $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a cotangent complex L_A which is an A-module [HA, Thm. 8.3.4.18]. The second concerns the question what the cotangent complex classifies. It turns out that maps from the cotangent complex L_A of an object $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ to an A-module $M[1]$ correspond to square-zero extensions of A with fiber M. To make a precise statement we recall the following definitions from [HA, Sect. 8.4].

The ∞-category of *derivations* in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ consists of pairs $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ where L_A is the cotangent complex of A and M is an A-module. This category will be denoted by $\mathrm{Der}(\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$. We can now impose connectivity assumptions on A and the module M. Let $\mathrm{Der}_{n-\mathrm{con}}(\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$ be the full subcategory of *n-connective derivations*, defined by the conditions that $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$. Imposing even stricter conditions, let $\mathrm{Der}_{n-\mathrm{sm}}(\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$ be the full subcategory of *n-small derivations* of $\mathrm{Der}_{n-\mathrm{con}}(\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$ spanned by those objects such that $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2n}$.

To each derivation we can associate a *square-zero extension*. This associates to a derivation

$$(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$$

a morphism

$$A^\eta \longrightarrow A$$

of objects in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$. The fiber of $A^\eta \to A$ can be identified as an A^η-module with M. More generally, we say that a morphism $\widetilde{A} \to A$ is a square-zero extension if there exists a derivation $(A, L_A \to M[1])$ and an equivalence $\widetilde{A} \simeq A^\eta$. As above, we can impose connectivity assumptions on square-zero extensions. A morphism $f\colon A \to B$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ is an n-connective extension if $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ and $\mathrm{fib}(f) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$. Again imposing further connectivity assumptions, we call an extension n-small if $\mathrm{fib}(f) \in \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2n}$ and the multiplication map $\mathrm{fib}(f) \otimes_A \mathrm{fib}(f) \to \mathrm{fib}(f)$ is nullhomotopic. Denote by $\mathrm{Fun}_{n-\mathrm{con}}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$ the full subcategory of the category of morphisms $\mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}\, C)$ spanned by the n-connective extensions, and by $\mathrm{Fun}_{n-\mathrm{sm}}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$ the full subcategory spanned by the n-small extensions.

The process described above in fact defines a functor of ∞-categories

$$\Phi\colon \mathrm{Der}(\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$$

given on objects by

$$(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1]) \longmapsto (A^\eta \to A).$$

This functor has a left adjoint

$$\Psi\colon \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Der}(\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$$

given on objects by

$$(\widetilde{A} \to A) \longmapsto (A, d\colon L_A \to L_{A/\widetilde{A}}).$$

Lurie proves that this adjunction restricts to subcategories with the appropriate connectivity assumptions and gives an equivalence of categories.

Theorem 2.2. *[HA, Thm. 8.4.1.26] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be as above. Then*

$$\Phi_{n-\mathrm{sm}}\colon \mathrm{Der}_{n-\mathrm{sm}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Fun}_{n-\mathrm{sm}}(\Delta^1, \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C}))$$

is an equivalence of ∞-categories.

The third fundamental fact we will use concerns the connectivity of the cotangent complex. In short, the cotangent complex of a highly connected morphism is again highly connected. The precise statement is the following:

Theorem 2.3. *[HA, Thm. 8.4.3.11] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category as above. Let $f\colon A \to B$ be a morphism of objects of $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ such that both A and $B \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$. Assume that $\mathrm{cofib}(f) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$. Then there exists a canonical morphism $\epsilon_f\colon B \otimes_A \mathrm{cofib}(f) \to L_{B/A}$, and furthermore $\mathrm{fib}(\epsilon_f) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 2n}$.*

In the special case of square-zero extension $f\colon A^\eta \to A$ with cofiber $M[1]$, the map ϵ_f allows us to compare $M[1]$ with the relative cotangent complex L_{A/A^η}.

2.2 The Construction

We begin by giving the definition of an obstruction theory in this abstract setting.

Definition 2.4. Let $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 0}$ be a commutative algebra object. An *n-connective obstruction theory* for A is a morphism

$$\phi\colon E \longrightarrow L_A$$

of connective A-modules such that $\mathrm{cofib}(\phi) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n+1}$.

Remark 2.5. Let A be a discrete object of $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ equipped with a 1-connective obstruction theory $\phi\colon E \to L_A$. The condition $\mathrm{cofib}\,\phi \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 2}$ implies that $\pi_0\phi$ is an isomorphism and $\pi_1\phi$ is surjective, thus recovering the definition of [BF97].

Remark 2.6. The datum of an n-connective obstruction theory for connective $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ is equivalent to giving an n-connective derivation of A. To see this, simply complete $\phi\colon E \to L_A$ to a cofiber sequence

$$E \xrightarrow{\phi} L_A \xrightarrow{\eta} K.$$

By definition, $\eta\colon L_A \to K$ is an n-connective derivation.

Definition 2.7. Let $n \geq 1$ and let $(A, \phi\colon E \to L_A)$ be a n-connective obstruction theory, and $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to K)$ the associated n-connective derivation. We say that a pair

$$\left(f\colon B \to A, \widetilde{\delta}\colon K \to L_{A/B}\right)$$

induces the obstruction theory if

(i) $\tau_{\leq n-1} f\colon \tau_{\leq n-1} B \to \tau_{\leq n-1} A$ is an equivalence.

(ii) The diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} L_A \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & K \arrow[dl, "\widetilde{\delta}"] \\ L_{A/B} & \end{tikzcd}$$

commutes and $\widetilde{\delta}$ is an equivalence.

Remark 2.8. Let $(A, \phi\colon E \to L_A)$ be a n-connective obstruction theory, and assume that $(f\colon B \to A, \widetilde{\delta}\colon K \to L_{A/B})$ induces the obstruction theory. This induces an equivalence

$$\widetilde{\phi}\colon E \to A \otimes_B L_B.$$

Example 2.9. Let $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $\pi_0 A$ can be equipped with a canonical 1-connective obstruction theory. The obstruction theory is given by

$$\phi\colon \pi_0 A \otimes_A L_A \longrightarrow L_{\pi_0 A}.$$

It immediately follows from Theorem 2.3 that the cofiber of ϕ is in $\mathcal{C}_{\geq 2}$. This obstruction theory is trivially induced by $(A \to \pi_0 A, \mathrm{id}\colon L_{\pi_0 A/A} \to L_{\pi_0 A/A})$. More generally, A induces an $n{+}1$-connective obstruction theory on all truncations $A \to \tau_{\leq n} A$.

Starting from the data of a n-connective obstruction theory $(A, \phi\colon E \to L_A)$, or equivalently, a n-connective derivation $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to K)$, we now want to begin with explicitly constructing a pair $(f\colon B \to A, \widetilde{\delta}\colon K \to L_{B/A})$ inducing the n-connective derivation. We will construct B as an increasingly connective tower of square-zero extensions of A. We begin with a simple result on the connectivity of square-zero extensions.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ be a n-connective derivation. Then the square-zero extension $A^\eta \to A$ is n-connective.*

Proof. We have a fiber sequence of A^η-modules

$$M \to A^\eta \to A.$$

Since $M \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq n}$ by assumption, the claim follows. ☐

We now introduce the key technical tool. We have seen that given a n-connective derivation $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ there exists an associated n-connective square-zero extension $f\colon A^\eta \to A$. We now want to study how the relative cotangent complex L_{A/A^η} compares to the module $M[1]$. In the following we will construct a morphism δ_f that compares the two. This δ_f-map is a slight refinement of the map ϵ_f of Thm. 2.3.

Recall that we have an adjunction $\Phi \leftrightarrows \Psi$ between the categories of extensions and derivations. Let v be the co-unit of this adjunction. By definition, on a derivation $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ with corresponding extension $f\colon A^\eta \to A$ the co-unit v is given by

$$(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1]) \longmapsto (A, d\colon L_A \to L_{A/A^\eta}).$$

In particular, we obtain the following commutative diagram in the category Mod_A:

$$\begin{tikzcd} L_A \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[dr, "d"'] & M[1] \arrow[d, "\delta_f"] \\ & L_{A/A^\eta} \end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.11. Let $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ be a derivation. Let δ_f be the morphism defined by the co-unit v of the adjunction $\Phi \leftrightarrows \Psi$ as in Eq. (1).

Given an object $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ with obstruction theory $\phi\colon E \to L_A$ and associated derivation $\eta\colon L_A \to K$ the morphism δ_f fits into the fundamental diagram of cofiber sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} E \arrow[r, "\phi'"] \arrow[d] & A \otimes_{A^\eta} L_{A^\eta} \arrow[r, "\eta'"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{fib}(\delta_f) \arrow[d] \\ E \arrow[r, "\phi"] \arrow[d] & L_A \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[d, "d"] & K \arrow[d, "\delta_f"] \\ 0 \arrow[r] & L_{A/A^\eta} \arrow[r] & L_{A/A^\eta}. \end{tikzcd}$$

We next prove a connectivity estimate for δ_f analogous to the connectivity estimate of Thm. 2.3 for ϵ_f.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ be an n-connective derivation, and let $f\colon A^\eta \to A$ be the corresponding square-zero extension. Then* $\mathrm{fib}(\delta_f) \in \mathcal{C}_{\geq 2n+2}$.

Proof. We have to show that $\tau_{\leq 2n+1}\delta_f$ is an equivalence. But $\tau_{\leq 2n+1}\delta_f$ is the co-unit of the adjunction $\Phi_{n-\mathrm{sm}} \leftrightarrows \Psi_{n-\mathrm{sm}}$, which is an equivalence. ☐

Corollary 2.13. *Let $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ be a n-connective obstruction theory, and let $f\colon A^\eta \to A$ be the associated square-zero extension. Then there exists a canonical $2n+1$-connective derivation on A^η.*

Proof. Define $\vartheta\colon L_{A^\eta} \to \mathrm{fib}(\delta_f)$ to be the adjoint map to

$$A \otimes_{A^\eta} L_{A^\eta} \to \mathrm{fib}(\delta_f).$$

□

Remark 2.14. In the same vein, assume again that $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ is a n-connective derivation and $f\colon B \to A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ a morphism equipped with a $2n+2$-connective map $\delta\colon M[1] \to L_{A/B}$ such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_A \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[d] & M[1] \arrow[dl, "\delta"] \\
L_{A/B} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Then there is a canonical square-zero extension of $B^\eta \to B$ defined by the $2n+1$-connective derivation

$$(B, \eta\colon L_B \to \mathrm{fib}(\delta))\,.$$

Starting from a n-connective derivation $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$, using Cor. 2.13 we have a series of square-zero extensions

$$A^\vartheta \longrightarrow A^\eta \longrightarrow A.$$

We again want to compare the relative cotangent complex L_{A/A^ϑ} to the module $M[1]$ we began with. For later applications we will be study the slightly more general situation of Rem. 2.14.

Lemma 2.15. *Let $n \geq 1$, and let $(A, \eta\colon L_A \to M[1])$ be a n-connective derivation. Assume further we have a n-connective extension $f\colon B \to A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ and a $2(n+1)$-connective map $\delta\colon M[1] \to L_{A/B}$ such that*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_A \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[d] & M[1] \arrow[dl, "\delta"] \\
L_{A/B} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Let $g\colon B^\eta \to B$ be the associated square-zero extension of B as in Rem. 2.14. Then there is a canonical $3(n+1)$-connective map

$$\delta_{gf}\colon M[1] \to L_{A/B^\eta}.$$

Proof. We have the cofiber sequences

$$M[1] \xrightarrow{\delta} L_{A/B} \to \mathrm{cofib}(\delta)$$

and, coming from the composition $B^\eta \xrightarrow{g} B \xrightarrow{f} A$,

$$L_{A/B^\eta} \to L_{A/B} \to A \otimes_B L_{B/B^\eta}[1].$$

Since $g\colon B^\eta \to B$ is a square-zero extension of B by $\mathrm{fib}(\delta)$, we obtain a morphism $\delta_g\colon \mathrm{fib}(\delta) \to L_{B/B^\eta}$. Using the map

$$\mathrm{cofib}(\delta) \xrightarrow{\alpha} A \otimes_B \mathrm{cofib}(\delta) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_A \otimes_B \delta_g[1]} A \otimes_B L_{B/B^\eta}[1]$$

it follows that δ factors over L_{A/B^η}. Define δ_{gf} to be the induced morphism $M[1] \to L_{A/B^\eta}$.

We now prove the connectivity estimate on δ_{gf}. Since $\mathrm{cofib}(\delta)$ is an A-module, we can use the multiplication map $m\colon A \otimes_B \mathrm{cofib}(\delta) \to B \otimes_B \mathrm{cofib}(\delta) \simeq \mathrm{cofib}(\delta)$ to split α. We thus obtain a splitting

$$A \otimes_B \mathrm{cofib}(\delta) \simeq \mathrm{cofib}(\delta) \oplus (\mathrm{fib}(f) \otimes_B \mathrm{cofib}(\delta))\,[1].$$

It follows that α is $3n+4$ connective. Since δ_g is $4n+4$-connective and $n \geq 1$, the composition $\alpha \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes_B \delta_g[1])$ is $3n+4$-connective. Identifying $\mathrm{cofib}(\delta_{gf})$ with $\mathrm{fib}(\alpha \circ (\mathrm{id}_A \otimes_B \delta_g[1]))$ the connectivity claim follows. ☐

Finally we need a result allowing us to compute the cotangent complex of an increasingly connected tower of square-zero extensions.

Lemma 2.16. *Let*

$$A_0 \xleftarrow{f_1} A_1 \xleftarrow{f_2} A_2 \xleftarrow{f_3} \dots$$

be a sequence of square-zero extensions where f_n is n-connective. Let B be the inverse limit $\lim\{A_n\}$. Then

$$L_B \simeq \lim\{L_{A_n}\}.$$

Proof. Passing to the Postnikov decomposition of B we have a sequence of equivalences

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tau_{\leq 0}B \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \tau_{\leq 1}B \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \tau_{\leq 2}B \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \dots \arrow[l] \\
\tau_{\leq 0}A_0 & \tau_{\leq 1}A_1 \arrow[l] & \tau_{\leq 2}A_2 \arrow[l] & \dots \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

This induces equivalences on the Postnikov decomposition of L_B

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\tau_{\leq 0}L_B \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \tau_{\leq 1}L_B \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \tau_{\leq 2}L_B \arrow[l] \arrow[d, "\simeq"'] & \dots \arrow[l] \\
\tau_{\leq 0}L_{A_0} & \tau_{\leq 1}L_{A_1} \arrow[l] & \tau_{\leq 2}L_{A_2} \arrow[l] & \dots \arrow[l]
\end{tikzcd}$$

and the claim follows. ☐

We now have all tools to prove the main result. We will use the previous results to give a tower of increasingly connected square-zero extensions $A_{m+1} \to A_m$. In every step we will measure the difference between L_{A/A_m} and K using the maps defined in Lem. 2.15. Using Rem. 2.14 we can construct a square-zero extension $A_{m+1} \to A_m$ correcting the defect in some degrees. In every step the degrees in which a defect still exists will be pushed up by $n+1$.

Theorem 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an ∞-category as in Ass. 2.1, and let $A \in \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ be a connective commutative algebra object. Assume that $(A, \phi\colon E \to L_A)$ is a n-connective obstruction theory with $n \geq 1$, and let $\mathrm{cofib}(\phi) = K$. Then there exists a pair*

$$\left(f\colon B \to A, \widetilde{\delta}\colon K \to L_{A/B}\right)$$

inducing the obstruction theory.

Proof. Let $A = A_0$, and let $\eta_0\colon L_{A_0} \to K$ be the n-connective derivation associated to the obstruction theory. We inductively define a tower of increasingly connected square-zero extensions $f_{m,m-1}\colon A_m \to A_{m-1}$, where $f_{m,m-1}$ is $m(n+1)-1$-connective, along with $m(n+1)$-connective maps $\delta_m\colon K \to L_{A_0/A_m}$. The first step of the induction is given by setting $f_{1,0}\colon A_1 \to A_0$ to be the square-zero extension $A^\eta \to A$. By Lemma 2.10, the connectivity assumption on $f_{1,0}$ follows. Finally, define $\delta_1 = \delta_{f_{1,0}}$.

Now assume that $f_{m,m-1}\colon A_m \to A_{m-1}$ and $\delta_m\colon K \to L_{A_0/A_m}$ have already been constructed. Denote by f_m the composition $f_{1,0} \circ \cdots \circ f_{m,m-1}$. By applying Rem. 2.14 to

$$f_m\colon A_m \to A, \quad \delta_m\colon K \to L_{A_0/A_m}$$

we obtain a square zero extension $f_{m+1,m}\colon A_{m+1} \to A_m$ which is $(m+1)(n+1)-1$ connective. Finally, define δ_{m+1} to be the $(m+1)(n+1)$-connective map $\delta_{f_{m+1,m}, f_m}$ of Lem. 2.15.

Now define B to be the inverse limit $\lim\{A_m\}$. Using the maps δ_n we have a series of maps

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& & & K \arrow[d, "\delta_1"] \arrow[dl, "\delta_2"'] \arrow[dll, "\delta_3"'] \arrow[dlll] \\
\dots \arrow[r] & L_{A_0/A_3} \arrow[r] & L_{A_0/A_2} \arrow[r] & L_{A_0/A_1}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where δ_m is $m(n+1)$-connective. Passing to the limit and using Lem. 2.16, we have an equivalence $\widetilde{\delta}\colon K \to \lim L_{A/A_n} \simeq L_{A/B}$. ☐

We can now apply this result in some examples.

Example 2.18. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Sp}$ be the ∞-category of spectra. An object of $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ then is an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring. Discrete objects of $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})$ can be identified with ordinary commutative rings. Applying the above theorem, it follows that every 1-connective obstruction theory for a commutative ring A is induced by some $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring B with $\pi_0 B = A$.

Example 2.19. Let k be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring and let $\mathcal{C}$ denote the category $\mathrm{Mod}_k(\mathrm{Sp})$ of k-module spectra. Define CAlg_k to be $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_k(\mathrm{Sp}))$. A discrete object of CAlg_k is an ordinary commutative algebra over $\pi_0 k$. By the above theorem every 1-connective obstruction theory for a commutative $\pi_0 k$-algebra A is induced by an $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-algebra B over k such that $\pi_0 B = A$.[2]

Example 2.20. In the previous example, let k be an ordinary commutative ring containing the rationals $\mathbb{Q}$ viewed as a discrete $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring. Then we can identify CAlg_k with the nerve of the category of simplicial commutative k-algebras. Applying the above theorem, every 1-connective obstruction theory for a commutative k-algebra is induced by a simplicial commutative k-algebra.

In Example 2.19 one will typically impose some finiteness property on both the $\pi_0 k$-algebra A and the obstruction theory $\phi\colon E \to L_{A/k}$. We recall the relevant definition.

Definition 2.21. Let k be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring, and let A be a finitely presented discrete commutative $\pi_0 k$-algebra equipped with a 1-connective obstruction theory $\phi\colon E \to L_A$. Let $m \geq 0$.

(i) The obstruction theory is an m-obstruction theory if $E \in (\mathrm{Mod}_A)_{\leq m}$.

(ii) The obstruction theory is perfect if E is a perfect A-module.

Remark 2.22. The definition of perfect m-obstruction theory only is useful in the case of a finitely presented commutative ring since this condition ensures that the cotangent complex is perfect.

We next want to ensure that if we start with a finitely presented commutative $\pi_0 k$-algebra equipped with a 1-connective perfect m-obstruction theory any k-algebra inducing the obstruction theory again satisfies some finiteness properties. We briefly recall the relevant finiteness property.

Definition 2.23. Let k be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring, and let $\mathrm{CAlg}_k = \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_k(\mathrm{Sp}))$. A commutative k-algebra A is *locally of finite presentation over k* if A is a compact object of CAlg_k.

Before we begin we need a series of lemmas. Recall the following definitions for a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring A and an A-module M:

(i) M is of Tor-amplitude $\leq n$ if for any discrete A-module N we have

$$\pi_i(N \otimes_A M) = 0$$

for $i > n$.

---

[2] The category CAlg_k can be identified with the under-category $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Sp})_{k/}$. Under this identification the cotangent complex associated to the category CAlg_k can be identified with the relative cotangent complex $L_{A/k}$.

(ii) M is perfect to order n if $\tau_{\leq n}M$ is a compact object of $(\mathrm{Mod}_A)_{\leq n}$.

(iii) M is almost perfect if it is perfect to order n for all integers n.

Lemma 2.24. *Let A be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring and M a connective A-module. If $\pi_0 A \otimes_A M$ has Tor-amplitude $\leq n$, then M has Tor-amplitude $\leq n$.*

Proof. Let N be a discrete A-module. In particular, N is a $\pi_0 A$-module. The claim then follows from

$$\pi_i(N \otimes_A M) = \pi_i(N \otimes_{\pi_0 A} \pi_0 A \otimes_A M) = \mathrm{Tor}_i^{\pi_0 A}(N, \pi_0 A \otimes_A M)$$

□

Lemma 2.25. *Let A be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring, M a connective A-module, and $n \geq 0$. If $\pi_0 A \otimes_A M$ is perfect to order n, then M is perfect to order n.*

Proof. We prove the claim by induction over n. For the case of $n = 0$ recall that M is perfect to order 0 if and only if $\pi_0 M$ is finitely generated as a module over $\pi_0 A$. The claim then follows from

$$\pi_0 M = \pi_0 A \otimes_{\pi_0 A} \pi_0 M = \pi_0(\pi_0 A \otimes_A M)$$

Now let $n > 0$. Recall that given a map of A-modules $\phi\colon A^k \to M$ which induces a surjection $\pi_0 A^k \to \pi_0 M$, then M is perfect to order n if and only if $\mathrm{fib}(\phi)$ is perfect to order $n-1$ [DAG8, Prop. 2.6.12]. The argument now follows [DAG8, Prop. 2.6.13]. As $\pi_0 M$ is finitely generated, we can choose a fiber sequence of connective A-modules

$$M' \longrightarrow A^k \longrightarrow M.$$

Tensoring with $\pi_0 A$, we obtain a fiber sequence of $\pi_0 A$-modules

$$\pi_0 A \otimes_A M' \longrightarrow \pi_0 A^k \longrightarrow \pi_0 A \otimes_A M.$$

By assumption, $\pi_0 A \otimes_A M$ is perfect to order n, so $\pi_0 A \otimes_A M'$ is perfect to order $n-1$. By the inductive hypothesis M' is perfect to order $n-1$, and thus M is perfect to order n. □

Corollary 2.26. *Let A be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring and M a connective A-module. If $\pi_0 A \otimes_A M$ is almost perfect and of finite Tor-amplitude, then M is perfect.*

Proof. By Lem. 2.24, M is of finite Tor-amplitude. By Lem 2.25, M is perfect to order n for all n and thus almost perfect. Now M being almost perfect and of finite Tor-amplitude imply that M is perfect. □

We can now prove the finiteness result alluded to above.

Proposition 2.27. *Let k be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring, and let $\mathrm{CAlg}_k = \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_k(\mathrm{Sp}))$. Let A be a discrete object of CAlg_k such that A is finitely presented as $\pi_0 k$-algebra. Assume that A is equipped with a n-connective perfect m-obstruction theory $\phi\colon E \to L_{A/k}$. Then in any pair $(f\colon B \to A, \widetilde{\delta}\colon L_{A/B} \to K)$ inducing the obstruction theory, the object B of CAlg_k is locally of finite presentation and $L_{B/k}$ is of Tor-amplitude $\leq m$.*

Proof. Using the equivalence $\widetilde{\phi}\colon E \to A \otimes_B L_{B/k} = \pi_0 B \otimes_B L_{B/k}$ obtained from $\widetilde{\delta}$ (see Rem. 2.8) it follows that $\pi_0 B \otimes_B L_{B/k}$ is perfect and of Tor-amplitude $\leq m$. In particular, $\pi_0 B \otimes_B L_{B/k}$ is almost perfect and of finite Tor-amplitude $\leq m$. By the previous corollary, $L_{A/k}$ is perfect and of Tor-amplitude $\leq m$. Now $\pi_0 B$ being of finite presentation over $\pi_0 k$ and $L_{B/k}$ being perfect imply that B is locally of finite presentation over k [HA, Thm. 8.4.3.17]. ☐

3 The Geometric Case

In this section we want to apply the above results in the setting of spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks. We first recall some of the definitions we will use.

3.1 Background

In [DAG7] Lurie defines a ∞-category $\mathrm{Sch}(\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{Sp}}_{\text{ét}})$ of connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks. An object $\mathfrak{X}$ of this category is a pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})$ consisting of an ∞-topos $\mathcal{X}$ and a sheaf of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-rings satisfying further conditions. There also is a relative version $\mathrm{Sch}(\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{Sp}}_{\text{ét}}(k))$ of connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stacks over a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring k. Here the structure sheaf takes its values in the category CAlg_k of $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-rings over k. This category can in fact be identified with the category of $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{Sp}}_{\text{ét}}$-schemes equipped with a morphism to $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$.[3]

A key property of this category is that ordinary Deligne-Mumford stacks over the discrete commutative ring $\pi_0 k$ sit inside $\mathrm{Sch}(\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{Sp}}_{\text{ét}}(k))$ as the full subcategory spanned by the 0-truncated and 1-localic $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{Sp}}_{\text{ét}}(k)$-schemes.

The theory of spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stacks over k is compatible with n-truncations in the sense that for every such stack $\mathfrak{X}$ its n-truncation $\tau_{\leq n}\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \tau_{\leq n}\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})$ is again a spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stack over k. In particular, given a 1-localic connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack, its 0-truncation $\tau_{\leq 0}\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \pi_0\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X})$ is an ordinary Deligne-Mumford stack over $\pi_0 k$ with the same underlying ∞-topos as $\mathfrak{X}$. Furthermore, we have a canonical morphism $\tau_{\leq 0}\mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{X}$.

Finally, recall that given a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ we say that $\mathfrak{X}$ is *locally of finite presentation over* $\mathrm{Spec}\, k$ if it is possible to choose a covering by affine schemes $\mathrm{Spec}(A_\alpha)$ such that each A_α is locally of finite presentation over k, i.e., a compact object of CAlg_k. If a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{X}$ is locally of finite presentation over k and has a cotangent complex of Tor-amplitude ≤ 1 we say that $\mathfrak{X}$ is *quasi-smooth*.

---

[3] In Lurie's notation, $\mathrm{Spec}\, k$ would be $\mathrm{Spec}^{\text{ét}}(k)$. As we will only encounter this Spec-functor omitting the superscript hopefully does not lead to confusion.

In the following we will make use of the following identification. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be an ∞-topos, and take $\mathcal{C}$ to be the ∞-category of sheaves of spectra $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathcal{X})$ on $\mathcal{X}$. This is a symmetric monoidal ∞-category equipped with a t-structure satisfying Assumption 2.1. Using the equivalence

$$\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{CAlg}}(\mathcal{X}) \simeq \mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathcal{X})) \tag{2}$$

we can identify the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ of a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack with a commutative algebra object in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathcal{X})$.

3.2 The Construction

We first give the definition of an n-connective obstruction theory in the geometric setting. The only difference to the algebraic case is that we want to assume the module defining the obstruction theory to be quasi-coherent.

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ be connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack, and let $n \geq 1$. A n-connective quasi-coherent obstruction theory for $\mathfrak{X}$ is a morphism

$$\phi\colon \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}$$

of connective quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules such that $\operatorname{cofib}\phi \in \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})_{\geq n+1}$.

We also have the analogous definition in the relative setting over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ using the relative cotangent complex.

Definition 3.2. Let k be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring, and let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. Let $n \geq 1$.

(i) A n- connective quasi-coherent obstruction theory for $\mathfrak{X}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is a morphism

$$\phi\colon \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/k}$$

of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-modules such that $\operatorname{cofib}\phi \in \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})_{\geq n+1}$.

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be locally of finite presentation over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$, and let $\phi\colon \mathcal{E} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/k}$ be a n-connective obstruction theory. We say that the obstruction theory is *perfect* if $\mathcal{E}$ is perfect. The obstruction theory is an m-obstruction theory if $\mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})_{\geq 0} \cap \mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X})_{\leq m}$.

In complete analogy to Definition 2.7 we have the notion of an object inducing the obstruction theory.

Definition 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{X}_0 = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0})$ be a spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stack equipped with a n-connective quasi-coherent obstruction theory $\phi\colon \mathcal{E} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}}$ with $n \geq 1$. Let $\operatorname{cofib}(\phi) = \mathcal{K}$, and let $\eta\colon L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}} \to \mathcal{K}$ be the induced morphism. We say that the pair

$$\left(i\colon \mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathfrak{X}_0/\mathfrak{X}}\right)$$

induces the obstruction theory if

(i) $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ is a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack with the same underlying ∞-topos as $\mathfrak{X}_0$.

(ii) $\tau_{\leq n}\mathfrak{X} = \tau_{\leq n}\mathfrak{X}_0$.

(iii) $\widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathfrak{X}_0/\mathfrak{X}}$ is an equivalence of quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}$-modules such that

$$\begin{tikzcd}
L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}} \arrow[r, "\delta"] \arrow[d, "d"'] & \mathcal{K} \arrow[dl, "\widetilde{\delta}"] \\
L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes in $\operatorname{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}_0)$.

We can now begin to prove geometric versions of the reconstruction theorem. Since we want the objects inducing the obstruction theories to be of geometric nature, we have to make sure that in every step of the reconstruction we obtain geometric objects. The key to this is verifying that a square-zero extension of a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack by a quasi-coherent sheaf is again a spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stack.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $n \geq 1$, and let $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ be a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack. Furthermore, let $\eta\colon L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}} \to \mathcal{M}[1]$ be a n-connective derivation with $\mathcal{M}$ a quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$-module. Let $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\eta} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ be the corresponding extension. Then $\mathfrak{X}' = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}^{\eta})$ is a connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack.*

Proof. This is immediate by verify the conditions of [DAG7, Thm. 8.42]. To verify the first condition, note that by the assumption $n \geq 1$ the 0-truncations of $\mathfrak{X}'$ and $\mathfrak{X}$ are equivalent. ☐

Remark 3.5. Note that due to the connectivity assumption on $\mathcal{M}$ in the above lemma we have never changed the underlying ∞-topos, but only have altered the structure sheaf.

Using the algebraic reconstruction theorem proven above, we can now prove a geometric version.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $\mathfrak{X}_0 = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0})$ be a spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stack equipped with a n-connective quasi-coherent obstruction theory $\phi\colon \mathcal{E} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}}$ with $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{K} = \mathrm{cofib}(\phi)$. Then there exists a pair*

$$\left(i\colon \mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}\right)$$

inducing the obstruction theory.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathcal{X})$, and using (2) identify $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ with an object of $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$. Applying Theorem 2.17, we obtain an morphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathcal{C})$ and an equivalence $\widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}$ in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}}$. As every step of the construction given in the proof of Theorem 2.17 is a square-zero extension, the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is indeed a spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stack be Lemma 3.4. In particular, $L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}$ is quasi-coherent. As $\mathrm{QCoh}(\mathfrak{X}_0)$ is a full subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}}$, the claim follows. ☐

We now proceed to prove a relative version of Theorem 3.6 over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$. So let $p\colon \mathfrak{X}_0 = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}) \to \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ be a morphism of spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stacks. Pulling back the structure sheaf of $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$, we obtain a morphism $p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ of connective commutative algebra objects in $\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathcal{X})$. In particular we can view $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ as a connective object of $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_{p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}})$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let k be a connective $\mathbb{E}_\infty$-ring $\mathfrak{X}_0 = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0})$ a spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stack over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ equipped with a n-connective quasi-coherent obstruction theory $\phi\colon \mathcal{E} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/k}$ with $n \geq 1$. Then there exists a pair*

$$\left(i\colon \mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}\right)$$

inducing the obstruction theory where $\mathfrak{X}$ is a spectral connective Deligne-Mumford stack over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$.

Proof. By applying Thm. 2.17 to the category $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_{p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}})$ we obtain a morphism of commutative algebra objects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$ in $\mathrm{CAlg}(\mathrm{Mod}_{p^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)}})$ and an equivalence $\widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}$-modules. Define $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$. The remainder of the proof is analogous to the proof of Thm. 3.6. ☐

As in Prop. 2.27 we want to ensure certain finiteness properties if we start with an ordinary Deligne-Mumford stack locally of finite presentation over $\pi_0 k$ equipped with a n-connective perfect m-obstruction theory.

Lemma 3.8. *Let $\mathfrak{X}_0$ be an ordinary Deligne-Mumford stack locally of finite presentation over $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 k)$ equipped with a n-connective perfect m-obstruction theory $\phi\colon \mathcal{E} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/k}$ with $n \geq 1$. Then in any pair $(i\colon \mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}})$ inducing the obstruction theory, the connective spectral Deligne-Mumford stack $\mathfrak{X} = (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}})$ is locally of finite presentation over $\mathrm{Spec}(k)$ and the cotangent complex $L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}/k}$ is of Tor-amplitude $\leq m$.*

Proof. As both assertions are local this follows from Prop. 2.27. ☐

Corollary 3.9. *If* $\mathfrak{X}_0$ *is locally of finite presentation over* $\mathrm{Spec}(\pi_0 k)$ *equipped with a* n*-connective perfect 1-obstruction theory for* $n \geq 1$*, there exists a pair* $(i\colon \mathfrak{X}_0 \to \mathfrak{X}, \widetilde{\delta}\colon \mathcal{K} \to L_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}_0}/\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}})$ *inducing the obstruction theory where* $\mathfrak{X}$ *is quasi-smooth.*

Remark 3.10. Assume that we are working over a discrete commutative ring k containing the rationals $\mathbb{Q}$. Using the equivalence of spectral algebraic geometry and derived algebraic geometry over k [DAG7, Cor. 9.28] the results of Prop. 3.7 and Cor. 3.9 hold in derived algebraic geometry over k.

References

[BF97] K. Behrend and B. Fantechi, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Inventiones Mathematicae **128** (1997), no. 1, 45–88.

[Kon95] Maxim Kontsevich, *Enumeration of rational curves via torus actions*, The moduli space of curves (Texel Island, 1994), Progr. Math., vol. 129, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1995, p. 335368.

[DAG] Jacob Lurie, *Derived algebraic geometry*, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2004, p. 193.

[Lur07] ______, *A survey of elliptic cohomology*, Available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/survey.pdf (2007).

[HA] ______, *Higher algebra*, Available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/higheralgebra.pdf (2011).

[DAG8] ______, *Quasi-coherent sheaves and tannaka duality theorems*, Available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-VIII.pdf (2011).

[DAG7] ______, *Spectral schemes*, Available at http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-VII.pdf (2011).

[Toë09] B. Toën, *Higher and derived stacks: a global overview*, Algebraic Geometry: Seattle 2005, Summer Research Institute on Algebraic Geometry, July 25-August 12, 2005, University of Washington, Seattle **80** (2009), 435.

[TV03] B. Toën and G. Vezzosi, *From HAG to DAG: derived moduli spaces*.

[HAG2] ______, *Homotopical algebraic geometry II: Geometric stacks and applications*, American Mathematical Society, 2008.